UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE                       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/810,913  | 03/26/2004                        | Mauro Adami          | 035170-9002-02 9409 |                  |  |
| 23409 7590 08/01/2007<br>MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP<br>100 E WISCONSIN AVENUE |                                   |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
|   |                                   |                      | CHOI, STEPHEN       |                  |  |
|   | Suite 3300<br>MILWAUKEE, WI 53202 |                      |                     | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   | ,                                 |                      | 3724                |                  |  |
|   | •                                 |                      |                     |                  |  |
|   | •                                 |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|   |                                   |                      | 08/01/2007          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/810,913      | ADAMI, MAURO |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |
| Stephen Choi    | 3724         |  |

|   |  | Stephen Choi   | 3724   |   |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| The MAILING   | DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add   | ress                                      |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>06 Ju</u>  | uly 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL  | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL  | LOWANCE.   |   |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed<br/>this application, application places the application</li> </ol>  | after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br>plicant must timely file one of the follow<br>on in condition for allowance; (2) a No<br>inued Examination (RCE) in compliance  | the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of | Appeal. To avoid aba<br>idavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 Cl | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)            |
| b) The period for renounce no event, however  | ply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date ply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A er, will the statutory period for reply expire la  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing  | g date of the final rejection  | on.                                       |
| TWO MONTHS (  | f box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (<br>OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70   | 06.07(f).  |  |   |
| have been filed is the date fo<br>under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is cale<br>set forth in (b) above, if chec | obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or purposes of determining the period of exticulated from: (1) the expiration date of the sched. Any reply received by the Office later and term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ension and the corresponding amount<br>hortened statutory period for reply orig<br>than three months after the mailing da  | of the fee. The appropri<br>inally set in the final Office               | ate extension fee<br>ce action: or (2) as |
| filing the Notice of I  | al was filed on A brief in comp<br>Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br>has been filed, any reply must be filed   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th  | is of the date of<br>e appeal. Since      |
| (a) ⊠ They raise ne   | endment(s) filed after a final rejection, lew issues that would require further cole issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  | nsideration and/or search (see NO  | , will <u>not</u> be entered be<br>TE below);                            | ecause                                    |
| (c) ⊠ They are not<br>appeal; and/o   | deemed to place the application in bet<br>or   | ter form for appeal by materially re   |  | the issues for                            |
|   | additional claims without canceling a Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1  |  | ected claims.  |   |
|   | are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   |  | mpliant Amendment  | (PTOL-324).                               |
|   | as overcome the following rejection(s):  |  |  | (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·    |
| 6. Newly proposed or the non-allowable o  | r amended claim(s) 41 and 42 would b   |  | ate, timely filed amen   | dment canceling                           |
| how the new or am   | peal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  <br>ended claims would be rejected is prov<br>aim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi<br>vided below or appended.  | ll be entered and an e   | xplanation of                             |
| Claim(s) objected to Claim(s) rejected:   |  |  |  |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn  | from consideration:  |  |  |   |
| because applicant t   | er evidence<br>failed to provide a showing of good and<br>sented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   | t before or on the date of filing a N<br>d sufficient reasons why the affiday  | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u><br>rit or other evidence is               | et be entered<br>s necessary and          |
| entered because th<br>showing a good and  | er evidence filed after the date of filing<br>e affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br>d sufficient reasons why it is necessary  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper<br>and was not earlier presented. S  | al and/or appellant fai<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1                          | Is to provide a l).                       |
| 10. ∐ The affidavit or oth<br>REQUEST FOR RECON   | ner evidence is entered. An explanation<br><u>SIDERATION/OTHER</u>   | n of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attach  | ied.                                      |
| 11.  The request for re   | consideration has been considered bu   | t does NOT place the application in  | n condition for allowar  | nce because:                              |
| 12. ☑ Note the attached<br>13. ☑ Other: <u>See Contin</u>   | Information Disclosure Statement(s). (uation Sheet.  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>7/23/07</u>   |  |   |
|   |  |  | /Stephen Choi/<br>Primary Examiner,                                      | AU 3724                                   |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly defined limitations set forth in claims 38, 51, and 56 raise new issues requiring further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 13. Other: The information disclosure statement filed July 23, 2007 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered..